^cABDUSSAMED DİYĀRBEKRĪ

(b. end of 15th century; d. > May 19, 1542)

LIFE

°Abduṣṣamed b. Seyyidī °Alī b. Dāvūd ed-Diyārbekrī's life is known to us through information he gives in his *History of Egypt*. With the exception of Kātib Çelebī's *Kashfu z-zunūn*, °A.D. is not mentioned in any biographical or bibliographical dictionary. Î Kātib Çelebī writes that °A.D translated Ibn al-Ṭūlūnī's *Nuzha sanīya fī aḥbār al-ḥulafā' wa-l-mulūk al-Miṣrīya*. C. Rieu and F. Babinger wrote biographical abstracts about him. The first comprehensive study on °A.D.'s life is available in B. Lellouch's PhD dissertation (1999).

cA.D.'s birth date cannot be determined. The first datable information available about him is that he met İdrīs-i Bidlīsī in Ṭā'if around Zilhicce 917/February-March 1512, when İdrīs was on a pilgrimage. Since cA.D. could not have been very young at that time, he must have been born at the end of the 15th century. He was probably born in the region of Diyārbekr, although we have no proof of this other than his sobriquet "Diyārbekrī". Although a sobriquet is not always proof of a birth place, the fact that he wrote mainly in Turkish makes it plausible that he stemmed from a Turkish-speaking environment, and that he wasn't born in the Hijaz or in Egypt. He also identifies himself as a "Turk" (*Türk, Türk oġlanı*).³

During his stay in the Hijaz, ^cA.D. studied in the Qāytbāy *madrasa* in Mecca. It was probably there that he studied Ḥanefī jurisprudence. At the same time he led a life of mystical contemplation in Mecca as a Qalender dervish. He appears to have been in Egypt in Zilhicce 922/January 1517 as he claims to have witnessed the battle of Rīdāniyye (al-Raydānīya), which allowed the Ottomans to capture Cairo. However we know that in Rebiülevvel 923/April 1517 he was back in the Hijaz, since he witnessed the Portuguese attack on Cidde (Jidda). He returned to Cairo in Şaban 923/August 1517, carrying a letter from the Ottoman admiral (*re'īs*) Selmān (d. 934/1527) which he then handed to the grand vizier Yūnus Paşa (d. 923/1517). One might say that he did not have the right connections in the Ottoman elite: a few days later Selmān was called to Cairo by Sultan Selīm I. (918-926/1512-1520) and arrested, and Yūnus was executed shortly after the sultan's departure from Cairo (Şaban 923/August-September 1517).

At the beginning of the Ottoman presence in Cairo, °A.D. lived here and enjoyed the company of lower-ranking characters. However in 931/1525 he was befriended by İskender Çelebī (d. 941/1535), the finance minister (*baş defterdār*) of Rūmeli, who had come to Egypt with the Grand Vizier İbrāhīm Paşa (d. 942/1536). İskender Çelebī provided °A.D. with material help when the latter spent a year in Istanbul as an applicant for a teaching or a legal position (*mülāzım*), probably shortly after the end of

İbrāhīm Paşa's tenure in Egypt. It seems that ^cA.D. returned to Egypt without securing a position.

We do not know when or by whose aid he became the judge $(q\bar{a}d\bar{i})$ of Dimyāṭ (Damietta). He held this position under Ḥüsrev Paṣa (941-943/1535-1536), under the second governorship of Süleymān Paṣa (943-945/1536-1538), and under Dāvūd Paṣa (945-954/1538-1547). He was dismissed in 947/1540-41 and then reinstated. He was still in this position on 3 Safer 949/19 May 1542, a date which forms the *terminus post quem* of the composition of his *History of Egypt*. After this date there is no information available about ${}^{c}A.D$. He was no longer the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ of Dimyāṭ in 952/1545-46 or thereafter, as testified by the registers of the city's court of justice.⁴

^cA.D. was one of a number of Turkish-speaking immigrants to Mamluk lands on the eve of the Ottoman conquest. His career exemplifies the openings for social mobility available to a Ḥanefī Turkish-speaker: although he was not an Ottoman subject before 923/1517, he became part of the elite in Ottoman Egypt.

Works

① Tercüme-i en-nüzhe es-seniyye fī zikr el-hulefā ve'l-mülūk el-mışriyye (History of Egypt)

Presented to Dāvūd Paṣa, this work is a Turkish-language adaptation, followed by an addendum (*zeyl*), of an Arabic-language chronicle entitled *Nuzha sanīya* written in 882/1477-1478 by the Cairene Ḥasan b. al-Ṭūlūnī (836-923/1432-1517). In his translation, ^cA.D. faithfully follows the structure of the *Nuzha*. He tells the history of the Prophet and the four rightly guided caliphs, and the Umayyad and Abbasid caliphs down to al-Mustanjid billāh (859-884/1453-1479). This first part of the work goes from foll. 2b to 39b. In the second part of the work, which extends from foll. 39b to 85b, he illustrates the history of Egypt from pre-Islamic times up to its rule by Muslim governors (*vülāt*) and kings (*mülūk*). He organizes this section according to periods of reigns down to the Mamluk sultan Qāytbāy (872-902/1468-1496). The Turkish adaptation is approximately eight times as long as the Arabic text. While Ḥasan b. al-Ṭūlūnī generally gives a very concise list of names and dates, ^cA.D., bearing in mind the moral significance of history, inserts anecdotes (at times autobiographical) and elaborates on some rulers, especially those of the second half of the 10th/15th century.

The addendum (zeyl) in the Turkish adaptation of the Nuzha, found on foll. 85b-364a, covers the history of Egypt between 901-949/1496-1542. The text is organized at first by the regnal years of the last Mamluk sultans and Selīm I, and then covers the governorship of Hayr Beg (923-928/1517-1522). Few dates are given here. From 14 Ramazan 923/30 September 1517 onwards the narrative becomes more elaborate and is organized around events ($veq\bar{a}yi^c$), for which precise dates are given. These $veq\bar{a}yi^c$ are grouped by month and year. As S.M. es-Seyyid Mahmud and M. Winter pointed out, °A.D. offers a Turkish adaptation (without mentioning his source) of the $Bad\bar{a}i^c$

al-zuhūr, from this point on down to the end of 928/19 November 1522 (which is the end date of the known text of the $Bad\bar{a}'i^c$). Written in Arabic by the Cairene Ibn Iyās (b. 852/1448; d. after the end of 930/28 October 1524), the $Bad\bar{a}'i^c$ is a history of Egypt which, for the period contemporary to its original author, takes the shape of a "diary." A.D. adds a few original elements, the most remarkable of which is the long narrative, based on firsthand knowledge, of the Red Sea events of 923/1517 (foll. 137a-162a). For the years 929-930/1522-1524, he uses the unfinished and lost twelfth book (juz') of the $Bad\bar{a}'i^c$. The narration is much shorter for 931/1524-1525 and, starting from the following year, very succinct, devoid of dates, and organized around various governorships. The section on the governorship of Dāvūd includes a section of praise for the pasa.

This work gives an outstanding narrative of the revolt of the Circassians (929/1523) and of Aḥmed Paşa's (930/1524) revolt, and sheds light on the work of Ibn Iyās. The author writes in an extremely beautiful and original style, with few borrowings from Arabic and Persian, and uses unique idiomatic expressions. The moral concerns of the author led him to insert a large number of otherwise unknown proverbs. However, his *History of Egypt* did not have any impact on later historiography.

Manuscripts: The London manuscript stands out by its literary and sometimes difficult style. It is obviously closer to the now lost autographed work than the other four extant manuscripts. The London manuscript bears no indication of date, location, or copyist. According to Rieu, it can be dated back to the 17th century. It belonged in 1180/1766-1767 to a certain Aḥmedzāde, financial officer in Baghdad. The Gotha manuscript, which was copied in 978/1570 and acquired in Cairo by U.J. Seetzen in 1808, is missing approximately fifteen folios. The Cairo manuscript (Ta'rīḥ Qawala 42) was copied in 967/1559 or in 997/1588. It is part of the Cavalla waqf which Fu'ād I (1917-1936) transferred to Cairo. Those two manuscripts are certainly the oldest ones. The Istanbul manuscript was owned by ^cAlī Emīrī (1857-1924).

Contents: The section headings are partially shortened. The section headings pertaining to months of the years from 924 to 931 are not given here; the years are given in Arabic; the folio numbers are given here following the London manuscript (Add. 7846):

34b: Devlet el-c'Abbāsiyye. 64a: Devlet el-Fāṭimiyye bi-Miṣr el-maḥrūse. 65b: Devlet el-Eyyūbiyye. 67b: al-Dawla al-Turkiyya. 80b: Salṭanat Abī 'n-Naṣr Seyfüddīn Qāytbāy el-Maḥmūdī. 85b: Salṭanat el-Melik en-Nāṣir Abī '1-Sacādat Nāṣirūddīn Muḥammed b. el-Melik el-Eṣref Qāytbāy. 91a: Salṭanat Melik Zāhir Ebū Sacād Qānṣū. 93a Salṭanat el-Melik el-Eṣref Ebū 'n-Naṣr Cān Būlāṭ. 96b: Salṭanat el-melik el-cādil Ebū 'n-Naṣr Ṭūmān Bāy. 101a: Salṭanat-ı Qānṣū '1-Gavrī. 115 (2; due to a pagination error there are two fol. 115) b: Salṭanat-ı [...] sulṭān Selīm Ḥān. 116a: Niyābet-i Ḥayr Beg. 127b: Zikr el-veqāyic ve'l-ḥavādiṣ-i mevlānā Şeyḥ Celālūddīn Suyūṭī. 127b: Sene 924. 183b: Sene 925. 206b: Sene 926. 226a-b: Salṭanat [...] es-sulṭān Süleymān Ḥān. 235a: Sene 927. 256b: Sene 928. 276b: Sene 929. 314b: Sene 930. 347b: Sene 931. 355b: İbtidā-i zikr-i ḥazret-i Dāvūd Paṣa.

2 Fütühü'ş-Şām Tercümesi

This is a Turkish adaptation of the first book (*juz*') of the *Futūḥ al-Shām* written at an unknown date. The original work in Arabic was wrongly attributed to al-Wāqidī (d. 207/822-3). The latter author composed a chronicle bearing this title, but this chronicle is lost. The known text of *Futūḥ al-Shām* was written after the death of al-Wāqidī by an unidentified author. The first volume of this pseudo-Wāqidī's *Futūḥ al-Shām*, like its adaptation by °A.D., relates the Arab conquests in Syria from Abū Bakr to the campaigns against Antioch in 16/637. In his adaptation of this work °A.D. does not offer any information about himself or his own lifetime, and the text does not have any significant stylistic peculiarity. By adapting the pseudo-Wāqidī into Turkish, °A.D. places himself in a well-attested tradition: we know of two other Turkish adaptations of this work from the Mamluk period, by Muṣṭafā Darīr (d. ?, work completed 795/1393) and by Muḥammed b. Acā (d. 881/1476-1477).

The Ankara manuscript is the sole manuscript of the Fütūḥü'ş-Şām Tercümesi. It is impossible to ascertain the date and place of this copy or the copyist's identity.

In his *History of Egypt*, ^cA.D. mentions that, by Selīm's request in 923/1517, he adapted and translated into Turkish an Arabic chronicle about the Mamluk sultan Barsbāy's campaign against Āmid (Diyarbakır) in 836/1432-1433. The text is lost, and the identification of this Arabic chronicle is problematic. ^cA.D. composed a treatise of Ḥanefī *fiqh*, the *Nuḥbat al-mamlūk fī taḥrīr tuḥfat al-mulūk*, which he presented to Selīm in 923/1517. Manuscripts of this work are known to exist. He also mentions in his *History of Egypt* that he composed a commentary (*şerḥ*) on the Ṣaḥūḥ of Buḥārī during his stay in the Hijaz.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

① Tercüme-i en-nüzhe es-seniyye fī zikr el-hulefā ve'l-mülūk el-mışriyye:

Manuscripts: (1) Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Ta'rīḫ Turkī 190-m, 203 fol., 33 lines, nesih. With the title En-nüzhe es-seniyye fī zikr el-hulefā ve'l-mülūk el-muṣriyye (2) Cairo, Dār al-kutub, Ta'rīḫ Turkī Qawala 42, 362 fol., 21 lines, nesih. With the title Tercüme en-nüzhe es-seniyye fī zikr el-hulefā ve'l-mülūk el-muṣriyye (3) Gotha, Forschungs- und Landesbibliothek, Orient. T 156, 161 fol., 21 lines, nesih. With the title Tercüme en-nüzhe es-seniyye fī zikr el-hulefā ve'l-mülūk el-muṣriyye (4) Istanbul, Millet Kütüphanesi, Ali Emiri Tarih 596, 452 fol., 19 lines, nesih. With the title Nevādirü t-tevārīḫ (5) London, British Library, Add. 7846, 367 fol., 21 lines, nesih. With the title Tercüme en-nüzhe es-seniyye fī zikr el-hulefā ve'l-mülūk el-muṣriyye (Charles Rieu, Catalogue of Turkish manuscripts in the British Museum (London, 1888), 66-67).

Editions: Two excerpts of the text were edited in transliteration and French translation by B. Lellouch in his PhD Dissertation (pp. 284-379; facsimile of the London manuscript p. XIII-LXVI). The first is the account of the end of the Mamluk rule and

the Ottoman conquest (foll. 101a-119b). The second is the account of the same events inserted as an anecdote in the entry for 17 Muharrem 925/19 January 1519 (foll. 185b-192b).

2 Fütūḥü'ş-Şām Tercümesi Manuscript: (1) Ankara, Milli Kütüphane, Yz. A. 29, 261 fol, 17 lines, nesih. Editions: None.

General Bibliography: Franz Babinger, Die Geschichtsschreiber der Osmanen und ihre Werke (Leipzig, 1927), 58-59. Stanford J. Shaw, "Turkish Source-materials for Egyptian History," Political and Social Change in Modern Egypt, ed. Peter M. Holt (London, 1968), 45. Seyyid Muhammed es-Seyyid Mahmud, XVI. Asırda Mısır Eyâleti (Istanbul, 1990), 18-19. Doris Behrens-Abouseif, Egypt's Adjustment to Ottoman Rule. Institutions, Waqf and Architecture in Cairo 16-17th centuries (Leiden, 1994), 11. Michael Winter, "An Arabic and a Turkish Chronicler from the Beginning of Ottoman Rule in Egypt: A Comparative Study," Aspects of Ottoman History. Papers from CIEPO IX, Jerusalem, ed. Amy Singer and Amnon Cohen (Jerusalem, 1994), 318-326. Benjamin Lellouch, "Le douzième guz' perdu des Badā'ic al-zuhūr d'Ibn Iyās à la lumière d'une chronique turque d'Égypte," Arabica, vol. 45 (1998), 88-103. Benjamin Lellouch, L'Égypte d'un chroniqueur turc du milieu du XVIe siècle. La culture historique de 'Abdussamad Diyârbekrī et le tournant de la conquête ottomane, PhD dissertation (EHESS, Paris, 1999). Benjamin Lellouch, Les Ottomans en Égypte. Historiens et conquérants au XVIe siècle (Paris, forthcoming).

Benjamin LELLOUCH August 2005

¹ See the entry on Ḥasan b. Ṭūlūn's *Nuzha sanīya...* in Kātib Çelebī, *Kashfu z-zunūn ^can asāmī l-kutub wa'l-funūn*, ed. Ş. Yaltkaya-R. Bilge, vol. 2 (Istanbul, 1972²), 1943.

² Charles Rieu, Catalogue of Turkish manuscripts in the British Museum (London, 1888), 66-67; Franz Babinger, Die Geschichtsschreiber der Osmanen und ihre Werke (Leipzig, 1927), 58-59.

³ cAbdussamed Diyārbekrī, *Tercüme-i en-nüzhe* ..., London, British Library, Add. 7846, fol. 363a.

⁴ A certain Seyfüddīn functioned as judge in Şevval 952/December 1545-January 1546; cf. Dār al-Watā'iq al-Qawmīya (Cairo), Sijillāt Dumyāt, 1.

⁵ Benjamin Lellouch, "Le douzième *guz*' perdu des *Badā*'i' *al-zuhūr* d'Ibn Iyās à la lumière d'une chronique turque d'Égypte," *Arabica*, vol. 45 (1998), 88-103.